## **S**

## Interview Summary

Application No. 09/391,445

Examiner

Applicant(s)

Group Art Unit

Benjamin H. Layno

up Art Unit 3711

Rocco R. Promutico



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):	
(1) Benjamin H. Layno	(3)
(2) William Muckelroy	(4)
Date of Interview Mar 23, 2001	
Type:   ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐	applicant applicant's representative).
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:	
Agreement 🗓 was reached. 🗌 was not reached.  Claim(s) discussed: All	
Identification of prior art discussed:  None	
	2, and the Applicant's Representative agreed to have claims Applicant notified the Applicant's Representative of the intent
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendents which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	
1. 🗵 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.	
Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.	
each of the objections, rejections and requirements claims are now allowable, this completed form is co	luding any attachments) reflects a complete response to that may be present in the last Office action, and since the insidered to fulfill the response requirements of the last ng a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above
Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an atta	achment to a signed Office action.